

INFLUENCE OF MINERAL FERTILIZATION AND WAYS OF USE ON NATURAL MEADOW OF *AGROSTIS CAPILLARIS*–*FESTUCA FALLAX* TYPE IN THE RHODOPE MOUNTAINS (SOUTHERN BULGARIA)

Yanko Kozhouharov¹, Vladimir Lingorski²

¹Complex Experimental Station, 4700 Smolyan (Bulgaria)

²Research Institute of Mountain Stockbreeding and Agriculture, 5600 Troyan (Bulgaria),

E-mail: vilievl@yahoo.com

Abstract. The experiment showed that the application of mineral fertilization up to N₁₆₀P₈₀ increased dry matter yields between different ways of use as follows: from 5.88 to 6.45 t.ha⁻¹ (annually hay–pasture uses), from 4.72 to 5.21 t.ha⁻¹ (annually pasture–hay uses) and from 5.84 to 6.40 t.ha⁻¹ (1st year: pasture–hay uses; 2nd year: only pasture use; 3rd year: hay–pasture uses). As regards to studying chemical indicators of the forage (crude fiber, crude fat, crude ash and nitrogen–free extract substances) the mineral fertilization and alternation of ways of use had a positive effect. The introduction of mineral fertilizers at a rate up to N₁₆₀P₈₀ increased the obtained feed units and crude protein per 1 ha.

Key words: natural meadow, mineral fertilization, ways of use, productive and chemical indicators, the Rhodope Mountains.

Introduction

The natural meadows of *Agrostis capillaris*–*Festuca fallax* type in Smolyan region (the Middle Rhodope Mountains, Southern Bulgaria) represents 56.8% of the total area of natural meadows in the region, and annually give about 1.5–2.0 t.ha⁻¹ good quality hay (in 100 kg hay containing 46 food units and 3.41 kg digestible protein) [YAKIMOVA *et al.*, 1977; CHESHMEDJIEV, 1980]

Due to irrational use and low levels of applied agricultural machines, the condition of natural meadows and pastures in the region is unsatisfactory. Furthermore, the main reasons for their low forage productivity are specific vegetation of grass stands and available natural and environmental characteristics that are typical of the region such as weak soil reserve with essential nutrients, high soil acidity, irregular rainfall distribution, a high rough of country, *etc.*

The conducted multiple studies in Bulgaria [TOTEV, 1984, PAVLOV, 1996, TOTEV *et al.*, 1997, TOTEV *et al.*, 1998] and abroad [KASPER, 1971, SUR, 1975, SUNG *et al.*, 1985, GRANDI *et al.*, 1989, GIRALDEZ *et al.*, 1993, TENCHEV *et al.*, 1999] show that apart from specific natural characteristics the quality and quantity of biomass obtained from natural grasslands has been also influenced to a great extent by the level of enforcement.

Together with mineral fertilization of the grass stand in farming practices are also important the ways of use (for hay, for pasture or combined), i.e. the phenophase for harvesting.

The purpose of this study was to establish the changes in productivity and chemical composition of forage biomass after combining of different ways of use and mineral fertilization on natural meadow of *Agrostis capillaris*–*Festuca fallax* type in the Rhodope Mountains (Smolyan region, Southern Bulgaria).

Material and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during the 1993–1995 period, on natural meadow of *Agrostis capillaris*–*Festuca fallax* type in the Rhodope Mountains (Smolyan region, Southern Bulgaria) at 1100 m altitude.

The soil in the area of the experiment was a brown forest with light mechanical structure because the chemical composition was characterized by a middle reserve of humus and a low total nitrogen and phosphorus. Low values were established by water soluble forms of nitrogen, phosphorus and molybdenum and the reserve of water soluble forms of potassium and boron was optimum. The soil reaction was acidic.

The Smolyan region is characterized by mild winters, cool spring, not particularly hot summer and relatively hot autumn.

The precipitations are irregular and there are clearly marked a winter and spring–summer maximum. The rainfalls during April–June period are very important on the grasslands productivity. The reduced rainfall during July, August and September as well as light mechanical composition of soil had



adverse effect on the grasslands productivity.

In conclusion, the Smolyan region has a specific soil and climatic conditions and that influence on the quantity and quality of forage mass of natural grasslands. The block–method was used in four repetitions and the harvesting plot area of 10 m² with the following fertilizer rates in kg per 1 ha as fertilization variants:

1. N₀P₀ (unfertilized variant)–as a control;
2. N₈₀P₈₀; 3. N₁₆₀P₈₀.

The fertilization was accomplished annually, in early spring and shortly before the beginning of active vegetation of grasses, with nitrogen (as ammonium nitrate), phosphorus (as superphosphate) and potassium (as potassium sulphate).

The following ways of use by years and undergrowth's was used as variants:

1. Annually the 1st undergrowth–in hay–use, the 2nd undergrowth–in pasture–use (control);
2. Annually the 1st undergrowth–in pasture–use; the 2nd undergrowth–in hay–use;
3. In 1st year the 1st undergrowth–in pasture–use, the 2nd undergrowth–in hay–use; in 2nd year–the 1st, 2nd and 3rd undergrowths–in pasture–use; 3rd year: the 1st undergrowth–in hay–use, the 2nd undergrowth–in pasture–use.

The harvesting in pasture–use mode was accomplished in height of the grass stand 10–12cm.

The harvesting in hay–making mode was accomplished in flowering phenophase of grasses.

During the conduction of the field experiment information about the following indicators was collected:

1. Dry mass (DM) yields (in t.ha⁻¹) was established by drying in muffle oven to constant weight at 105°C of 0.5 kg green mass samples, taken immediately after cutting each trial plot and repetition. It has been reported for years and average for the experimental period.
2. Chemical composition of the absolutely dry matter (in %)-of crude protein content, of crude fiber and of crude fat through infrared spectroscopy with apparatus InfraAlyser–400. The nitrogen–free extract substances (NFES) were calculated as the amount

difference among crude protein, crude fiber, crude fat and crude ash.

Results and Discussion

Productive potential of dry mass.

The main factors as regards productive potential of the grass stand, as dry mass yields are the ways of use and applied mineral fertilization (*Table 1*).

It is obvious that in the 1st year (1994) the dry mass yields were almost the same in three variants of the study as ways of use. Thus, the obtained mass varied from 3.65 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 1 and 2) to 3.67 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 3). After application of mineral fertilizers (N₈₀P₈₀ and N₁₆₀P₈₀) it not only increased the dry matter yields but also work changes between the ways of use. The exceeding as regards N₀P₀ reached respectively 1.17 and 1.85 t.ha⁻¹, 0.41 and 1.00 t.ha⁻¹, 0.83 and 1.14 t.ha⁻¹.

It is obvious that var. 2 and 3 had almost identical dry matter yields because they had equivalent mode of use and fertilization.

The nitrogen doubling on P₈₀–background increased the dry mass–from 4.82 to 5.50 t.ha⁻¹ in var. 1 from 4.06 to 4.65 t.ha⁻¹ in var. 2 and from 4.50 to 4.81 t.ha⁻¹ in var. 3. Var. 1 had a higher yield from them because the influence of different way of use (hay–pasture use). In the second year of study (1995) when the investigated variants were put in three different ways of use where obtained differences in dry matter yields.

In unfertilized control the differences were not very large–from 4.15 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 2) to 4.48 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 3).

The N₈₀P₈₀–fertilization significantly increased these differences in yields and they reached from 5.12 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 2) to 6.33 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 3), i.e. respectively over with 0.97 and 1.85 t.ha⁻¹.

The application of N₁₆₀P₈₀–fertilization not only increased the yields but also determined the differences between them.

Thus, in control the dry mass yield reached 7.10 t.ha⁻¹ and in var. 2 and 3 respectively 5.56 and 6.72 t.ha⁻¹.

It is obvious that the early harvesting (in pasture–use) of the first undergrowth in variants 2 and 3 retained the influence of mineral fertilization to form higher yields.

Under the influence of ways of use in

the last year of investigation (1996) were established differences in dry matter yields for var. 2 (from 4.39 to 5.44 t.ha⁻¹), and between var. 1 and 3—respectively from 4.10 to 6.77 t.ha⁻¹ and from 4.25 to 7.69 t.ha⁻¹.

The differences in yields between var. 1 and 2 were determined by the different uses of the grass stand.

On the other hand, in the same way of use the differences in yields between var. 1 and 3 were determined as a result of different ways to use var. 3 from the previous year.

The use of var. 3 in 1995 only for grazing was reflected in more favorable compared to the same annual usage.

The reason for that is because

pasture—use in second year increased the reserve of nutrients in the root system of grasses and thus increased energy for growth and development of the grasses in the next year.

Average for the three years of study and three ways of use has no significant influence as regards quantity of yields, so the differences between them are immaterial.

The application of mineral fertilization with N₈₀P₈₀ and especially with N₁₆₀P₈₀ increased dry matter yields between different ways of use as follows: from 5.88 to 6.45 t.ha⁻¹ (in control), from 4.72 to 5.21 t.ha⁻¹ (in var. 2) and from 5.84 to 6.40 t.ha⁻¹ (in var. 3), i.e. more with 0.56 t.ha⁻¹.

Table 1.

Dry matter yields (t.ha⁻¹) in ways of use and fertilization level by years and average for the 1994–1996 period.

Variant	Way of use	1994			1995			1996			Average		
		N ₀ P ₀	N ₈₀ P ₈₀	N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀	N ₀ P ₀	N ₈₀ P ₈₀	N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀	N ₀ P ₀	N ₈₀ P ₈₀	N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀	N ₀ P ₀	N ₈₀ P ₈₀	N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀
Var. 1 (Control) Annually	1 st undergrowth – hay–use	2.71	3.51	3.97	1.12	4.65	5.05	3.00	4.46	4.94	2.95	4.21	4.65
	2 nd undergrowth – pasture–use	0.94	1.31	1.53	1.16	1.99	2.05	1.10	1.71	1.83	1.07	1.67	1.80
	Total for the variant	3.65	4.82	5.50	4.28	6.64	7.10	4.10	6.17	6.77	4.02	5.88	6.45
Var. 2 Annually	1 st undergrowth – pasture–use	1.12	1.45	1.69	1.28	1.81	2.00	1.31	1.78	1.98	1.24	1.68	1.89
	2 nd undergrowth – hay–use	2.53	2.61	2.96	2.87	3.31	3.56	2.98	3.21	3.46	2.79	3.04	3.32
	Total for the variant	3.65	4.06	4.65	4.15	5.12	5.56	4.39	4.99	5.44	4.03	4.72	5.21
Var. 3 1 st year	1 st undergrowth – pasture–use	1.20	1.66	1.81									
	2 nd undergrowth – hay–use	2.47	2.84	3.00									
	Total for the year	3.67	4.50	4.81									
2 nd year	1 st undergrowth – pasture–use				1.41	1.98	2.07						
	2 nd undergrowth – pasture–use				1.72	2.36	2.61						
	3 rd undergrowth – pasture–use				1.35	1.99	2.04						
	Total for the year				4.48	6.33	6.72						
3 rd year	1 st undergrowth – hay–use							3.16	4.87	5.66			
	2 nd undergrowth – pasture–use							1.09	1.81	2.03			
	Total for the year							4.25	6.68	7.69			
	Average for the variant										4.13	5.84	6.40
								P at 5%	23.7	81.5	93.6		
								P at 1%	34.5	12	133.2		
								P at 0.1%	44.3	136.8	148.1		

Chemical composition of the grass biomass

The chemical composition of grass biomass is influenced mainly by usage and the level of mineral fertilization (Table 2).

In unfertilized control the content of crude protein hardly changed between different uses—260 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter in var. 1, 250 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter in var. 2 and 269 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter in var. 3.



After application of mineral fertilization increased both the total crude protein content, and differences between ways of use.

The content of crude protein was highest in fertilization $N_{80}P_{80}$ and rotation way of use (var. 3)–298 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter and the lowest when using the first undergrowth was for grazing, a second for hay (var. 2)–275 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter.

Applying $N_{160}P_{80}$ –fertilization confirms this trend. Between content of crude

protein and crude fiber was observed reverse dependency.

Crude fiber content decreased after the application and with increasing norms of mineral fertilization.

Crude fiber content was highest when it used the 1st undergrowth for grazing, and 2nd undergrowth for hay production–298 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter in the control, 287 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter in fertilization with $N_{80}P_{80}$ and 258 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter in fertilization with $N_{160}P_{80}$.

Table 2.
Average chemical composition of the grass biomass in $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter for the 1994–1996 periods.

Variant	Way of use	Crude protein			Crude fiber			Crude fat		
		N_0P_0	$N_{80}P_{80}$	$N_{160}P_{80}$	N_0P_0	$N_{80}P_{80}$	$N_{160}P_{80}$	N_0P_0	$N_{80}P_{80}$	$N_{160}P_{80}$
Var. 1 (Control) Annually	1 st undergrowth– hay–use	236	255	288	245	220	214	52	54	55
	2 nd undergrowth– pasture–use	284	317	339	202	184	177	54	55	56
	Average for the variant	260	286	313	223	202	195	53	54	55
Var.2 Annually	1 st undergrowth– pasture–use	295	317	324	281	267	246	53	54	56
	2 nd undergrowth– hay–use	205	233	257	315	308	241	55	57	56
	Average for the variant	250	275	290	298	287	258	54	55	56
Var. 3 1 st year	1 st undergrowth– pasture–use	308	320	329	260	254	249	52	53	55
	2 nd undergrowth– hay–use	218	242	277	321	310	302	54	54	55
	Average for the year	263	281	303	290	282	275	53	54	55
2 nd year	1 st undergrowth– pasture–use	291	341	357	201	197	190	53	54	56
	2 nd undergrowth– pasture–use	278	304	321	223	213	201	52	53	55
	3 rd undergrowth– pasture–use	251	290	310	235	220	208	54	55	56
	Average for the year	273	311	329	219	210	199	52	54	55
3 rd year	1 st undergrowth– hay–use	245	268	305	221	218	207	52	53	54
	2 nd undergrowth– pasture–use	297	336	351	193	186	180	53	54	55
	Average for the year	271	302	328	207	202	193	52	53	54
	Average for the variant	269	298	320	238	231	222	52	53	54

Crude fat content and NFES were not affected significantly by the impact of different ways of use.

In this connection, it was more marked the impact of mineral fertilization.

It was established that the fertilization increased the amount of crude fat in the forage and reduced the content of NFES.

Thus, in annually applied ways of use

the average crude fat ranged from 53 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter (N_0P_0) to 55 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter ($N_{160}P_{80}$).

In annual changing uses the crude fat varied from 53 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter (N_0P_0) to 55 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter ($N_{160}P_{80}$) during the first year, from 52 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter (N_0P_0) to 55 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter ($N_{160}P_{80}$) during the second year and from 52 $g.kg^{-1}$ a dry matter

(N₀P₀) to 54 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter (N₁₆₀P₈₀) during the third year.

And vice versa, for the NFES content in annual repeating ways of use were reported respectively from 328 to 312 g.kg⁻¹ a dry

matter (var. 1) and from 332 to 313 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter (var. 2).

In annual changing uses (var. 3) the NFES were average from 321 to 307 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter (*Table 3*).

Table 3.

Average chemical composition of the grass biomass in g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter for the 1994–1996 period.

Variant	Way of use	NFES			Crude ash		
		N ₀ P ₀	N ₈₀ P ₈₀	N ₀ P ₀	N ₈₀ P ₈₀	N ₀ P ₀	N ₈₀ P ₈₀
Var. 1 (Control) Annually	1 st undergrowth–hay–use	336	320	336	320	336	320
	2 nd undergrowth–pasture–use	320	318	320	318	320	318
	Average for the variant	328	319	328	319	328	319
Var. 2 Annually	1 st undergrowth–pasture–use	320	310	320	310	320	310
	2 nd undergrowth–hay–use	345	331	345	331	345	331
	Average for the variant	332	320	332	320	332	320
Var. 3 1 st year	1 st undergrowth–pasture–use	325	320	325	320	325	320
	2 nd undergrowth–hay–use	331	318	331	318	331	318
	Average for the year	328	319	328	319	328	319
2 nd year	1 st undergrowth–pasture–use	321	316	321	316	321	316
	2 nd undergrowth–pasture–use	318	311	318	311	318	311
	3 rd undergrowth–pasture–use	322	319	322	319	322	319
	Average for the year	320	315	320	315	320	315
3 rd year	1 st undergrowth–hay–use	318	310	318	310	318	310
	2 nd undergrowth–pasture–use	310	307	310	307	310	307
	Average for the year	314	308	314	308	314	308
	Average for the variant	321	314	321	314	321	314

In contrast to the aforementioned chemical indicators, the forage crude ash content was influenced by the ways of use and the mineral fertilization levels.

In harvesting of the grass stand in an earlier age (for grazing), the ash content was higher than in a later age (for hay production).

On the other hand, the mineral fertilization decreased in great measure the crude ash in the forage.

Thus, in annually applied ways of use the crude ash reached average 136 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter (var. 1) and 66 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter (var. 2) depending on hay or pasture was used the 1st undergrowth.

After N₁₆₀P₈₀–fertilization the crude

ash content reached respectively 243 and 83 g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter.

In annual repeating ways of use were established similar trends in the different years of study.

Thus, in the 1st year the crude ash decreased from 66g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter (N₀P₀) to 58g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter (N₁₆₀P₈₀).

In the 2nd year the decrease was respectively from 136 to 108g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter and in the 3rd year—from 155 to 122g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter (average for the variant from 119 to 96g.kg⁻¹ a dry matter).

Productive potential of feed units and crude protein

The application of the different ways



of use of the grass stand as well as mineral fertilization influenced on received feed units (FU) and crude protein (Table 4).

In non-fertilization the feed units were almost the same for the different ways of use: 258.7 FU.ha⁻¹ for var. 1, 266.3 FU.ha⁻¹ for var. 2 and 276.1 FU.ha⁻¹ for var. 3.

The introduction of mineral fertilizers at a rate N₈₀P₈₀ especially with N₁₆₀P₈₀ increased the obtained feed units per 1 ha and

caused differences between uses.

Thus, the after fertilization with N₁₆₀P₈₀ were reported 460.8 FU.ha⁻¹ for control, 387.1 FU.ha⁻¹ for var. 2 and 469.0 FU.ha⁻¹ for var. 3.

From the same table it is seen that in non-fertilization variant there are no significant differences between uses, as their crude protein yields were nearly identical.

Table 4.

Average feed units (FU.ha⁻¹) and crude protein yields (t.ha⁻¹) for the 1994–1996 periods.

Variant	Way of use	N ₀ P ₀		N ₈₀ P ₈₀		N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀	
		FU.ha ⁻¹	Crude protein, t.ha ⁻¹	FU.ha ⁻¹	Crude protein, t.ha ⁻¹	FU.ha ⁻¹	Crude protein, t.ha ⁻¹
Var. 1 (Control) Annually	1 st undergrowth – hay–use	203.3	69.5	302.9	107.2	349.0	134.0
	2 nd undergrowth – pasture–use	55.4	30.3	99.9	52.8	111.8	61.1
	Total for the variant	258.7	99.8	402.8	160.0	460.8	195.1
Var. 2 Annually	1 st undergrowth – pasture–use	79.2	36.5	109.3	53.3	137.8	61.1
	2 nd undergrowth – hay–use	187.1	57.2	216.1	70.9	249.3	85.4
	Total for the variant	266.3	93.7	325.4	124.2	387.1	146.5
Var. 3 1 st year	1 st undergrowth – pasture–use	77.0	37.0	112.7	53.0	128.3	59.4
	2 nd undergrowth – hay–use	162.7	53.7	204.6	68.2	228.2	83.1
	Total for the year	239.7	90.7	317.7	128.8	356.5	142.5
2 nd year	1 st undergrowth – pasture–use	84.8	41.4	124.4	67.3	138.5	73.8
	2 nd undergrowth – pasture–use	117.0	47.8	164.9	71.6	195.5	83.6
	3 rd undergrowth – pasture–use	72.7	33.8	115.5	57.7	122.2	63.2
	Total for the year	274.5	123.0	404.8	196.6	456.2	220.6
3 rd year	1 st undergrowth – hay–use	244.3	77.4	375.2	130.5	452.4	172.5
	2 nd undergrowth – pasture–use	70.6	32.3	119.7	61.0	141.8	71.1
	Total for the year	314.0	109.7	494.9	191.5	594.2	243.6
	Average for the variant	276.1	107.8	405.8	172.3	469.0	202.2

The yield values varied from 93.7 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 2) to 107.8 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 3).

The mineral fertilization with a rate up to N₁₆₀P₈₀ caused significant differences between the separate uses.

Thus, the crude protein yield after N₁₆₀P₈₀-fertilization reached 195.1 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 1), in var. 2–146.5 t.ha⁻¹ (var. 2) and in var. 3–202.2 t.ha⁻¹.

Conclusions

The experiment in Rhodope

Mountains (Southern Bulgaria) shows that the mineral fertilization and ways of use of natural meadow of *Agrostis capillaris*–*Festuca fallax* type had a positive effect on forage productive and chemical indicators.

The application of mineral fertilization up to N₁₆₀P₈₀ increased dry matter yields between different ways of use as follows: from 5.88 to 6.45 t.ha⁻¹ (annually hay–pasture use), from 4.72 to 5.21 t.ha⁻¹ (annually pasture–hay use) and from 5.84 to 6.40 t.ha⁻¹ (1st year: pasture–hay use; 2nd year:

only pasture use; 3rd year: hay–pasture use).

As regards to studying chemical indicators of the forage (crude fiber, crude fat, crude ash and nitrogen–free extract substances) the mineral fertilization and alternation of ways of use had a positive effect.

The introduction of mineral fertilizers at a rate up to N₁₆₀P₈₀ increased the obtained feed units and crude protein per 1 ha.

References

1. Cheshmedjiev, B. **1980**. Feed characteristics of the natural pastures and meadows in Bulgaria. Zemizdat, Sofia.
2. Giraldez, F., J. Alvares, F. Martines, S. Solis. **1993**. Ruminal degradation of hay from permanent pastures. Effect of stage of maturity, *Archives de Zootechnica*, 42, 156: 13–20.
3. Grandi, A., M. Cagiotti, F. Blassi. **1989**. Investigation of flora productivity and nutritive value of meadow pasture in Ragnolo Macerata. *Zootechnica e Nutrizione Animale*, 15, 2: 115–133.
4. Kasper, J. **1971**. Prosperok k studijnym v chemickom zloteny docasnych travnicu parastov pri razdielny dusteatej vyzive. *Redecke Prace*, VULP Banska Bistrica, 6, 111–137.
5. Pavlov, D. **1996**. Productivity, nutritive value, qualitative characteristics of different groups forage plants and possibilities for their prediction. *Dissertation on PhD of Agricultural Sciences*, Stara Zagora.
6. Sung, K., C. Kim. **1985**. Effect of intake on digestibility of grass hay harvested at different cutting dates, *Journal of the Korean Society of Grassland Science*, 5, 2: 111–115.
7. Sur, D. **1977**. Vpliv vizivy a viuzivania na dinamiku narastania hmoty na prirodzenom travnom parasite. *Redecke Prace*, VULP Banska Bistrica, 9, 49.
8. Tenchev D., K. Stoeva, Kh. Yancheva. **1999**. Study on the fertilization of natural pastures of *Cynodon dactylon–Lolium perenne* type in the Strandja region. *Journal of Mountain Agriculture on the Balkans*, vol. 2, 4: 413–419.
9. Totev, T. **1984**. Investigations on the regeneration and utilization of natural meadows and pastures in the upland mountainous and alpine regions of central part of the Balkan range. *Dissertation on PhD of Agricultural Sciences*, Troyan.
10. Totev T., Tz. Mihovsky, V. Lingorski, K. Tankov, D. Pavlov. **1997**. The effect of mineral fertilisation on the variation in the nitrate concentration of fodder from natural and artificial grass–stands, *Biotehnologija u stocarstvu*, vol. 13, No3–4: 245–252.
11. Totev, T., V. Lingorski, K. Tankov, Ts. Mihovski, B. Chourkova, D. Karadocheva, K. Belperchinov, D. Pavlov. **1998**. Variation of forage productivity and nitrate content under the influence of fertilizer application on mountain meadows, *Journal of Mountain Agriculture on the Balkans*, vol. 1, 5: 366–373.
12. Yakimova, Ya., M. Ouzunov, N. Petrovski, I. Popov, M. Mitev. **1977**. Improvement and utilization of natural meadows and pastures. Zemizdat, Sofia.

Received: August 7, 2011
Accepted: October 30, 2011

